



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

NEW YORK, MAY 20, 1922. No. 106



*Published to advance the Science of cold-blooded vertebrates*

## SECOND OCCURRENCE OF SQUATINA IN NEW ENGLAND.

On September 23, 1921, there was taken in a trap at Menemsha Bight, Martha's Vineyard, Mass., an angelfish 43 inches in length. The fish was presented to the Bureau of Fisheries by the owner of the trap and was kept alive in the aquarium at Woods Hole for three weeks. The specimen was subsequently donated to the Boston Society of Natural History.

This appears to be the second recorded occurrence of *Squatina* in Massachusetts or New England. On September 1, 1873, a specimen was taken in a trap at Menemsha Bight and sent to Washington at the time.<sup>1</sup> There is a rather indefinite record of a still earlier capture at Woods Hole. Baird<sup>2</sup> lists *Squatina dumerili* among the species collected in 1871 and 1872, but this paper was published in 1873, and the old records of the station contain no reference to the occurrence of this fish prior to 1873; it is, therefore, possible that the inclusion of this species in Baird's list was on the strength of the definite capture cited.

HUGH M. SMITH,  
*U. S. Bureau of Fisheries.*

<sup>1</sup> Smith, H. M. The fishes found in the vicinity of Woods Hole. Bulletin U. S. Fish Commission for 1897, p. 89.

<sup>2</sup> Baird, Spencer F. List of fishes collected at Woods Hole. Report of Commissioner of Fish and Fisheries for 1871, p. 827.